


# **Business Inflation Expectations Survey (BIES)**<sup>1</sup> – July 2017

### A. Inflation expectation

- One year ahead business inflation expectation in July 2017, as estimated from the mean probability distribution of unit cost increase, works out to be 2.86%, slightly down from 3.04% as observed in June 2017 (Chart 1).
- Uncertainty of business inflation expectations in July 2017, as captured by the standard deviation of the mean probability distribution, is estimated to be 3.5% (roughly remained same level as observed in June 2017).



#### Chart 1: Unit costs increase - mean probability (%) distribution


# **B.** Costs

- About cost perceptions, around 57% of the firms in July 2017 reported that costs increase has remained less than 3% during last one year (Chart 2). This proportion has increased from 54% observed in June 2017.
- The trend in cost perception data during last 3 months shows that the overall cost escalation has remained moderate. Hence, it is less likely that firms will increase their product prices significantly in the near future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Business Inflation Expectations Survey (BIES) provides ways to examine the amount of slack in the economy by polling a panel of business leaders about their inflation expectations in the short and medium term. The survey asks questions about year-ahead cost expectations and factors influencing price changes, such as profit, sales levels, etc. The survey is unique in that it goes straight to businesses, the price setters, rather than to consumers or households, to understand their expectations of the price level changes. One major advantage of BIES is that one can get a probabilistic assessment of inflation expectations and thus a measure of uncertainty. It also provides an indirect assessment of overall demand condition of the economy. Results of this will thus be useful in understanding the inflation expectation of business and complement other macro data required for policy making. Towards this objective, IIMA introduced BIES from May 2017. The questionnaire of BIES is finalized based on the detailed feedback received from the industry, academicians and policy makers. A copy of the questionnaire is enclosed for information.

Companies, mostly in the manufacturing sector, are selected based on certain sampling criteria from the list of companies as available with the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA). BIES - July 2017 is the third round. These results are based on around 900 companies' responses.






#### Chart 2: How do current costs per unit compare with this time last year?

#### C. Sales Levels

- Over 30% of the firms in the sample reported that sales are much less than normal<sup>2</sup> (Chart 3). The proportion of firms indicating less than normal sales has further increased in July 2017 as compared to June 2017.
- Over 3/5<sup>th</sup> of the firms have indicated that sales levels are much or somewhat less than normal. This proportion too has increased in July 2017. In sharp contrast, proportion of firms indicating sales somewhat greater than normal has declined sharply.
- This would imply, other factors remaining constant, subdued demand conditions. In the face of stagnant or declining sales, businesses will be reluctant to increase prices in the near term. Price-related inflationary pressures is expected to remain subdued.




#### Chart 3: Sales Levels - % response

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "normal" means as compared to the average level obtained in the preceding 3 years.



# **D. Profit Margins**

- Responses of over 44% of the firms in the sample in July 2017 indicate much less than normal<sup>3</sup> profit margin of the firms (Chart 4). This proportion has sharply increased in July 2017.
- Around 71% of the firms in July 2017 reported squeezing of profit margins. This is higher than what is reported in June 2017.
- Business as usual or better than normal times is reported roughly by 26.9% of firms in July 2017, down from 34% of the firms in June 2017. Higher profit margins in the current economic condition are not supported by the data.



## Chart 4: Profit Margins - % response

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "normal" means as compared to the average level obtained in the preceding 3 years.



# **Business Inflation Expectation Survey (BIES) - Questionnaire**

Q1. How do your	current <b>PROFIT MARGINS</b> <sup>@</sup> compare with "normal" <sup>*</sup> times?	
0	Much less than normal	
0	Somewhat less than normal	
0	About normal	
0	Somewhat greater than normal	
0	Much greater than normal	
Q2. How do your "normal"* times?	current sales levels compare with SALES LEVELS <sup>@</sup> during wh	at you consider to b
0	Much less than normal	
0	Somewhat less than normal	
0	About normal	
0	somewhat greater than normal	
0	Much greater than normal	
*"normal" means	the average level obtained during the corresponding time point of pr	eceding 3 years.
	nost important product in terms of sales.	
<b>B.</b> Current Costs	Per Unit <sup>^</sup>	
0	, how do your current <b>COSTS PER UNIT</b> <sup>^</sup> compare with this time la Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%)	ast year?
	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly ( > 10%)	ast year?
o o o of the main or m	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly ( > 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i>	ast year?
o o o of the main or m	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly ( > 10%)	ast year?
<ul> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>C. Forward Look</li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly ( > 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i>	
<ul> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>C. Forward Look</li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah</li> <li>following changes</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) ost important product in terms of sales. ing Costs Per Unit <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo	d (probability) to th
<ul> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>C. Forward Look</li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah</li> <li>following changes</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i> <b>sing Costs Per Unit</b> <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo to costs per unit <sup>\$</sup> over the next 12 months.	d (probability) to th
<ul> <li>of the main or m</li> <li><b>C. Forward Look</b></li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah following changes</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i> <b>ing Costs Per Unit</b> <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo to costs per unit <sup>\$</sup> over the next 12 months. Unit costs down (less than -1%) Unit costs about unchanged (-1% to 1%) Unit costs up somewhat (1.1% to 3%)	d (probability) to th
<ul> <li>o</li> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>C. Forward Look</li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah following changes</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i> <b>sing Costs Per Unit</b> <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo to costs per unit <sup>\$</sup> over the next 12 months. Unit costs down (less than -1%) Unit costs about unchanged (-1% to 1%) Unit costs up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Unit costs up moderately (3.1% to 6%)	d (probability) to th
<ul> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>c. Forward Look</li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah following changes</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i> <b>ing Costs Per Unit</b> <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo to costs per unit <sup>\$</sup> over the next 12 months. Unit costs down (less than -1%) Unit costs about unchanged (-1% to 1%) Unit costs up somewhat (1.1% to 3%)	d (probability) to th
<ul> <li>of the main or m</li> <li>C. Forward Look</li> <li>Q4. Projecting ah following changes</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> </ul>	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) <i>ost important product in terms of sales.</i> <b>sing Costs Per Unit</b> <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo to costs per unit <sup>\$</sup> over the next 12 months. Unit costs down (less than -1%) Unit costs about unchanged (-1% to 1%) Unit costs up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Unit costs up moderately (3.1% to 6%)	d (probability) to th
o o of the main or m C. Forward Look Q4. Projecting ah following changes o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Down (< -1%) About unchanged (-1% to 1%) Up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Up significantly (6.1% to 10%) Up very significantly (> 10%) ost important product in terms of sales. ing Costs Per Unit <sup>\$</sup> ead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihoo to costs per unit <sup>\$</sup> over the next 12 months. Unit costs down (less than -1%) Unit costs about unchanged (-1% to 1%) Unit costs up somewhat (1.1% to 3%) Unit costs up moderately (3.1% to 6%) Unit costs up significantly (6.1% to 10%)	d (probability) to th