The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available at www.emeraldinsight.com/1355-5855.htm

Understanding the role of prior product knowledge to information search An application of process theory to the Indian market

Dheeraj Awasthy HSBC, Bangalore, India, and Arindam Banerjee and Bibek Banerjee Department of Marketing, Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, India

Abstract

Purpose – Existing literature offers conflicting evidence on how prior product knowledge influences amount of information search. A majority of these studies are based on variants of cost benefit frameworks where consumers engage in search until the benefits from information search exceed search costs. The purpose of this paper is to develop an expectancy theory-based framework to model consumers' information search and its antecedents, including motivation to search as an intervening construct.

Design/methodology/approach – The framework is tested using data from real consumers engaged in their actual purchase decisions, in an emerging market context, using longitudinal survey research design. The data are analysed using structural equation modeling to test the hypothesized model. The model shows an acceptable fit with X2 (271, 487) = 640.252, p < 0.00 and 0.95 CFI.

Findings – Results indicate that the relationship between prior product knowledge to information search is mediated by motivation to search. Prior product knowledge influences motivation to search through its influence on the consumer's perceived ability to search and his/her perceived value of additional information. Furthermore, perceived ability to search is the strongest predictor of motivation to search. The parsimony of the proposed framework in providing a simpler account of factors influencing the search process along with its managerial implications is discussed.

Practical implications – The findings suggest that perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information are two important levers that managers could use for achieving desired results. Furthermore, perceived ability to search is an important mediator, which completely mediates the relationship between prior product knowledge and motivation to search. These findings also provide strong indications about the need to simplify the search process for consumers, especially in the context when novelty is predominantly marketed.

Originality/value – The paper introduces a motivational measure of search in the literature and shows that the motivational measure is indeed the proximal measure to other antecedent constructs compared to a behavioral measure of search. Perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information are shown as important mediators between prior product knowledge and motivation to search.

Keywords India, Emerging markets, Consumer behaviour, Information searches, Prior product knowledge, Motivation to search, Value of information, Expectancy theory

Paper type Research paper

The role of prior product knowledge

257

Received 3 July 2011 Revised 13 November 2011 Accepted 3 January 2012



Logistics Vol. 24 No. 2, 2012 pp. 257-287 © Emerald Group Publishing Limited 1355-5855 DOI 10.1108/13555851211218057



Introduction

Understanding the consumer information search process plays a pivotal role in key strategic managerial decision areas such as communications planning, new product introduction and customer relationship management.

The consumer information search literature has evolved using three different theoretical perspectives: economic perspective (focusing on cost-benefit tradeoff), psychological perspective (focusing on individual differences) and information processing perspective (focusing on memory and cognitive information processing limitations of humans). Researchers have drawn from these perspectives (either from any one or from combination) to examine the pre-purchase information search process of consumers. A majority of these studies (Biswas, 2004; Ratchford, 2001; Srinivasan and Ratchford, 1991) derive their support from an economic rationality (cost-benefit) framework, which suggests that a customer engages in the search process till the time her incremental benefits of search exceed her incremental search costs.

The psychological perspective assumes that there are other factors in addition to the cost and benefit tradeoff that impact the consumer information search process. Researchers have argued for the explicit recognition of individual (like self efficacy) and situation specific variables (like time pressure) in the models (Loibl *et al.*, 2009; Grant *et al.*, 2007; Beatty and Smith, 1987; Duncan and Olshavsky, 1982).

The information processing perspective assumes that a consumer uses a set of cues to make a decision. These cues are stored in the memory and are activated when a purchase decision is to be taken. A decision process is thus viewed as a net through which an array of cues passes (Sternthal and Craig, 1982). These cues fall into three categories: choice object attributes (price, weight, etc.), external environment attributes (past experience, Word of Mouth, etc.) and internal cues or cognitive variables (perceived risk, task complexity). Thus, many researchers have modeled these variables in their consumer information search inquiry (Hu *et al.*, 2007; Baye *et al.*, 2006; Levin *et al.*, 2000).

Prior product knowledge has been given importance by all the perspectives. The economics perspective argues that prior product knowledge influences the cost and benefits of search whereas the psychological perspective argues that prior product knowledge influences individual specific variables like self efficacy. The information processing perspective argues that prior product knowledge is a part of memory which influences the cognitive capacity of consumers. Thus, many researchers have closely examined the relationship of prior product knowledge to consumer pre-purchase information search behavior, both conceptually and empirically (Basu, 1993; Bettman and Park, 1980; Chandler and Crown, 1991; Chao and Gupta, 1995; Coleman and Warren, 1995; Duncan and Olshavsky, 1982; Moorthy et al., 1997; Ratchford, 2001). However, these studies have found support for positive, negative and inverse relationship between these constructs (Appendix 1) and have come with equally strong arguments in support of their research. The researchers who find positive relationship argue that more knowledge enables consumer to ask more questions whereas researchers who find negative relationship argue that more knowledge makes consumer more efficient in her search. Hence, there is a need to revisit the information search behavior of consumers and the process by which prior product knowledge influences it.

In this paper we explore the relationship between prior product knowledge and information search by developing a theoretical model using motivation theory framework and thereby propose a set of intervening variables that can help explain

APIML

24.2

the inconsistencies of results in the existing literature. The model examines motivation to search as a mediator between prior product knowledge and pre-purchase information search. Antecedents of motivation to search are proposed, deriving support from the expectancy theory of motivation. Empirical validation of the theoretical model is provided using data from consumers intercepted while undergoing their actual purchase process.

Relationship between prior product knowledge and pre-purchase information search – a literture summary

Prior product knowledge has been conceptualized as an important antecedent of information search by many researchers (Brucks, 1985; Engel *et al.*, 1968; Howard and Sheth, 1969; Bei and Widdows, 1999). These studies have been done in a variety of contexts ranging from automobile purchase (Chao and Gupta, 1995; Johnson and Russo, 1984), electronics goods purchase (Beatty and Smith, 1987; Selnes and Troye, 1989) home shopping contexts (Sundaram and Taylor, 1998) and grocery purchase (Putrevu and Ratchford, 1997; Putrevu and Lord, 2001).

Researchers such as Anderson et al. (1979), Fodness and Murray (1997) and Swan (1969), etc. have reported a negative relationship between prior product knowledge and the extent of information search. The essential arguments in favor of such negative relationships in the literature stems from the rationale that experienced consumers search less because of two reasons. First, prior knowledge suffices the need to know about the attributes of various alternatives and consequently limits the additional information search from external sources. Second, the knowledgeable consumers can perform more efficient information searches because they know what information is important and useful. Information may be categorized by consumers according to their degree of importance. Those with high prior product knowledge have already processed the most salient among that information and hence may feel less given to search for additional information. Additionally, the ambient environment for information (the so-called info-scape) may be such that the search for relevant information may entail stochastic time or effort costs. These costs are likely to be higher for relative novices in the category. As consumers acquire more knowledge about a category, this very process of information search becomes more directed and hence efficient. This explains the inverse relationship between prior product knowledge and amount of information search.

On the other hand, researchers who find positive relationship between the two constructs (Coupey *et al.*, 1998; Johnson and Russo, 1984; Ozanne and Brucks, 1992), argue that prior knowledge of product attributes allows consumers to formulate more questions and therefore, lead them to look for more information. These researchers further argue that category-specific knowledge helps individual consumers to evaluate responses to questions, thereby reducing cognitive cost of using information and increasing the benefits of obtaining it.

Researchers who find inverted U relationship (Bettman and Park, 1980; Moorthy *et al.*, 1997) argue that knowledge is divided into two parts: *prior knowledge*, which leads to more information search as it enables consumers to ask more question; and *expertise*, which leads to greater knowledge about how various brands are positioned and thus reduces the need for additional information. Prior knowledge is defined as "consumer knowledge of how much she knows about the values of various

choice alternatives on the attributes she is considering" (Moorthy *et al.*, 1997) and expertise is defined as "ability to perform product related tasks successfully" (Moorthy *et al.*, 1997). They argue that the effect of knowledge is initially dominant and expertise takes over later in the search process, causing the relationship between prior knowledge and information search to be inverted U.

The literature also suggests that there is a lack of consistency in the way various researchers measure different constructs like prior product knowledge and amount of information search. Researchers have used objective knowledge, subjective knowledge, prior experience and positive experience as surrogates for measuring prior product knowledge. Similarly, researchers have used time spent at different sources of information, number of information sources used and overall time spent on information search in order to measure amount of information search. Therefore, the relationship between prior product knowledge and amount of information search can be best described as inconclusive. A summary of studies that have investigated this relationship along with their results is provided in Appendix 1.

This paper examines the process by which prior product knowledge influences pre-purchase information search behavior deriving support from expectancy theory. The focus is on the following set of questions:

- (1) Are there intervening variables that influence the relationship between prior product knowledge and amount of information search? If yes, what are they?
- (2) Is actual information search influenced by these intervening variables, namely, consumer's motivation to search?
- (3) How does prior product knowledge influence consumer's motivation to search for additional information?

In addressing the above questions, this research develops the theory in information search literature by introducing the constructs of motivation to search, perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information. Motivation is claimed to be more proximal to the currently studied actual information search measures. Thus, it is more important to understand the relationship of various antecedent variables to motivation to search. In calibrating the proposed theoretical model, scales are developed for measuring each of the constructs and their psychometric properties are tested.

The model

As outlined in the previous section, this research focuses on understanding the process by which prior product knowledge influences pre-purchase information search. This section discusses the key process variables and their relationships.

Whenever a consumer decides to buy a product, she faces a choice situation where many products in the market claim to fulfill her need. The choice of product is influenced by her personal need criteria, her prior product knowledge (if any) and the information she gets during the search process (Punj and Brookes, 2001). It is important to develop a framework to explain the motivation to engage in information search and specifically examine the relationship of prior product knowledge to the motivation for information search and actual information search.

In this paper a model of information search behavior is developed using motivation to information search and amount of information search as separate constructs.

24,2

APIML

Motivation theory literature argues that motivations should be modeled separately from outcome variables to understand the relationships better. Mitchell (1982) in his review of motivation literature states that, "[...] purpose of motivation theories is to predict behavior [...] but motivation is not the behavior itself and it is not performance" (p. 81). He further argues that "[...] if one wants to assess the changes of motivation or influences of interventions on motivation, then one must measure motivation and its contribution to behavior [...]" (p. 83).

Furthermore, expectation theory (Vroom, 1964) is used a theoretical framework to model antecedents of motivation to search. Expectancy theory being a process theory of motivation emphasizes on individual perceptions of the environment and subsequent interactions arising as a consequence of personal expectations and thus is better placed to examine the inter-relationships (for example, Kopf (1992) and Fudge and Schlacter (1999) for further discussion). Also, Jacoby *et al.* (1992) state that expectancy theory is better placed to explain consumer choice and decision making.

Deriving support from expectancy theory the article proposes that motivation to search is influenced by perceived ability to search (analogous to expectancy in Vroom's theory) and perceived value of additional information (analogous to attractiveness (or composite of valence and instrumentality in Vroom's theory). *Perceived ability to search* is defined as a consumer's perception about her ability to perform information search tasks. Though the construct of ability already exists in marketing literature (in information processing literature) but the conceptualization of abilities in this article differs as the abilities here are defined as subjective abilities as opposed to objective abilities in information processing literature (Petty and Cacioppo, 1981).

Perceived value of additional information encompasses instrumentality and valence dimensions of expectancy theory. The first dimension of conceptualization captures the attractiveness of purchase outcome to the consumer (equivalent to valence) and second captures the strength of perceived usefulness of search in giving good information to the consumer (equivalent to instrumentality) (Vroom, 1964). The instrumentality construct is further adapted to incorporate element of cost attached to search activity by asking customers to take consideration of costs while responding to the questions relating to instrumentality. Thus, perceived value of additional information is composed of two factors: perceived attractiveness of the purchase objectives to the customer (thereby capturing the need of customer) and the strength of belief that additional search would provide information that would aid in achieving the purchase objectives. Hence, perceived value of additional information captures the importance of product to the customer and also captures the expected usefulness of the additional information to the customer. It is further agued that using perceived value of additional information is better than modeling cost and benefits of search separately as benefits and costs of search are ultimately encoded and decoded by consumers at a psychological stratum. Hence a more appropriate construct to explain search ought to account for not only the perceived benefits and costs of search, but also the strength of belief that search effort would produce relevant information for the consumer.

It is further proposed that *prior product knowledge* influences both, perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information. Prior product knowledge is defined as the consumer perceived knowledge of how much she knows about the product category on different parameters (Brucks, 1985; Moorthy *et al.*, 1997). This definition of prior product knowledge is used because of two reasons: first, the model

is driven by motivation theory perspective and thus use of perceptual measures is more appropriate and second, there is moderate correlation between both subjective and objective measures of prior product knowledge. Also prior product knowledge acts as a control variable that captures the heterogeneity in customer search as already conducted search would add to the stock of prior product knowledge of the customer.

The literature also suggests that prior product knowledge is positively related to perceived ability (Eastin and LaRose, 2000; Celsi and Olson, 1988; Bettman and Park, 1980) and negatively related to prior product knowledge (Biswas, 2004). Thus, the model proposes that consumers who have lower product knowledge have larger value to be derived from information and the consumer who have higher product knowledge also have higher perceived abilities (refer Figure 1 for a schematic representation of the proposed model).

The proposed model shows that high (low) prior product knowledge level consumers can engage in high or low levels of information search depending upon the relative strengths of the mediating variables, i.e. perceived value of additional information, perceived ability to search and motivation to search. Therefore, this research conceptually proposes a possible reconciliation of the conflicting results of prior research in this area and proposes that positive relationship between prior product knowledge and motivation to search may exist if the relative strength of perceived ability to search is higher. On the other hand, a negative relationship between prior knowledge and search may be explained by the relatively low strength of perceived value of *additional* information. Thus, this article provides a theoretical framework wherein a positive and a negative relation between knowledge and search may coexist.

Relationship between constructs (hypotheses)

Prior product knowledge and motivation to search

Vroom (1964) suggests that there are three primary antecedents to motivation: valence, instrumentality and expectancy (VIE). It is therefore reasonable to expect that any variable which influences motivation should influence it through its relationship with these antecedent variables. It is hypothesized here that prior product knowledge influences both expectancy and attractiveness, defined as a composite of instrumentality and valence:

- H1(a). The relationship of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived ability to search.
- *H1(b).* The relationship of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived value of additional information.



24,2

APIML

Prior product knowledge and amount of information search

As discussed earlier, the debate on the relationship of prior product knowledge to information search is far from settled. Virtually analogous arguments have been proposed to explain diametrically opposite conclusions. Whereas some studies report findings that more category specific knowledge makes consumers efficient in their search and leads them to search less, others report that more accumulated knowledge enables consumers to ask more questions that lead them to search more. These conflicting evidences suggest that there is a possibility of underlying mediating variables that may accommodate various positions suggested by the literature.

In the proposed model (Figure 1) it may be noted that a construct measuring consumer's motivations to search has been explicitly introduced. The hypothesis proposed is that the relationship between prior product knowledge and information search is mediated by the motivation to search. The motivation construct is important as it may permit evaluation of the influence of interventions on motivation and their concomitant impact on behavior. Mitchell (1982), Bettman and Park (1980), Celsi and Olson (1988) and Schmidt and Spreng (1996) are some of the prior research that directly support our hypothesis:

H2. The relationship of prior product knowledge to amount of information search is mediated by motivation to search.

Perceived ability to search and motivation to search

Perceived ability to search is a construct similar to the expectancy construct of Vroom (1964), who hypothesized a positive relationship of expectancy to motivation. Subsequent empirical work in expectancy theory has shown that expectancy is positively correlated to information search (Eerde and Thierry, 1996). In the information search literature, Duncan and Olshavsky (1982) show that the consumer's belief in her ability to judge is positively related to the extent of information search. Putrevu and Ratchford (1997) further show that perceived ability to judge is positively related to information search. Shafizadeh (2007), while studying antecedents of intrinsic motivation shows that perceived ability is a significant predictor of motivation. Thus, it may be hypothesized that:

H3. There is a positive relationship between consumers' perceived ability to search and their motivation to search during pre-purchase information search process.

Prior product knowledge and perceived ability to search

Alba and Hutchinson (1987) suggest that lack of cognitive ability implies that knowledge structures required to perform complex mental tasks either do not exist, or cannot be readily accessed by consumers. Torkzadeh *et al.* (1999) show that training in computers significantly improved their self efficacy in accessing personal computers. This tends to suggest that prior knowledge has significant positive impact on consumers' self efficacy. Many authors in the information processing literature have measured consumers' ability to process new information. Bettman and Park (1980) argue that lack of prior knowledge structures inhibit the low knowledge customer's ability to process information. Celsi and Olson (1988) claim that ability to process

APIML information is largely a function of the amount and the type of knowledge of the customer. This school of thought leads to the following hypothesis:

> H4. Prior product knowledge is positively related to the perceived ability to search.

Perceived value of additional information and motivation to search

Perceived value of additional information has been conceptualized following the *attractiveness* construct of expectancy theory, which is defined as a composite of valence and instrumentality. Valence is defined as anticipated satisfaction or anticipated importance of the outcome to the consumer and instrumentality is defined as the strength of perceived usefulness of search in giving good information to the consumer so that she can get the desired purchased outcome.

Vroom (1964) hypothesized a positive relationship between motivation and both valence and instrumentality. Subsequent empirical research, such as Wanous et al. (1983) and Van Eerde and Thierry (1996), show that the composite construct of valence and instrumentality positively influences motivations.

Similarly, the rich literature on the economic theories of information search have collectively claimed that the consumer pursues search till the marginal utility of search becomes zero (Stigler, 1961; Srinivasan and Ratchford, 1991; Moorthy et al., 1997). Thus, following the economic principals of diminishing returns to search it may be argued that the incremental value of information has a positive relationship with motivation to search:

H5. The relationship between perceived value of additional information and motivation to search is positive.

Prior product knowledge and perceived value of additional information

Perceived utility of additional information about the category decreases as consumers become more knowledgeable about a product category. Evidences in the marketing literature suggest that as consumers become more familiar with a category, the perceived value of search decreases for them because they already possess superior information about the existing products in the market (Johnson and Russo, 1984). Biswas (2004) propose that consumers who have lower product knowledge have larger value to be derived from information:

The relationship between perceived value of additional information and prior *H6*. product knowledge is negative.

Motivation to search and amount of information search

Motivation in itself captures the intensity to perform a certain task (Vroom, 1964; Eerde and Thierry, 1996). Mitchell (1982) focused on the need to model motivation as a separate construct, as distinct from behavior, because motivation is an important intervening variable that ultimately influence overt search behavior. Consumers engage in extended information search only when they have strong motivation to do so. Therefore:

H7. Motivation to search is positively related to amount of information search.

24.2

Research methodology

This section discusses the methodological issues of choosing an appropriate research methodology to address the research issues formulated in the previous sections. Research methodology is a structured set of guidelines or activities to assist in generating valid and reliable research results. Figure 2 shows the summary of choices available at various decision levels and the shaded option shows the option that was selected at each stage.

Two plausible data collection designs to examine the proposed relationships were: experimental design and survey design. Experimental design has a better control in terms of manipulating variables and has high internal validities but was difficult to operationalize in this context because:

- It was very difficult to manipulate certain constructs which were dispositional in nature like product knowledge and tendency to explore.
- It was also not easy to assign respondents into control group and treatment group on priori basis because of large number of variables.

The option of choosing a survey design looked more feasible as large number of variables did not pose much problem except for increasing the length of the questionnaire. This type of research design has been extensively used in the related literature (Srinivasan, 1987; Moorthy et al., 1997; Srinivasan and Agrawal, 1988). Thus, survey research design was selected to test the proposed relationships.



The role of prior product knowledge

Figure 2.

Moreover, the research can either be cross-sectional or longitudinal. In this study, we apply a mixed design. Cross-sectional research involves the collection of information from any given sample of population elements only once where as longitudinal research provides an in-depth view of the situation and the changes that take place over time. The proposed model deals with psychological constructs like motivation to search that are temporal in nature and can be better captured at the time of task (information search activity) performed. But a part of model also tests the relationship between motivation to search and actual information search which is the resultant variable and can only be captured once the information search activity is over. Hence, a mixed design was selected where all variables except actual information search variables were captured in the first phase which is closer to a cross-section data collection method. This was done so that we have higher number of respondent data to test our model.

Because it is well documented in literature that longitudinal research suffers from respondents' refusal to cooperate and panel mortality. Thus, second stage of data was collected primarily to test the relationship between motivation to search to actual information search using a longitudinal research design.

Scale development and pretesting

All measures for this research have been developed using scale development approaches following Churchill (1979), Sethi and King (1994) and Hinkin (1995). The variables in the proposed model are perceptual, so the use of scales with appropriate psychometric properties becomes very important. The initial inventory of items was selected from related scales available in the literature and qualitative discussions with actual consumers and MBA students. This process is similar to the deductive and inductive approach suggested by Hinkin (1995). All scales were then content validated using ten experts (doctoral students who had taken the research methodology course and were knowledgeable of the process of content validation). These experts were provided with the definition of the constructs and the list of items characterizing these constructs and were asked to provide a judgment (using a dichotomous scale) on whether the items belonged to the nomological space of the constructs. Finally, the list of items was derived after applying an 80 percent convergence rule. The questionnaire was then pre-tested on 186 senior students studying business management and computer science.

Pretest data

The purpose of the pretest was to validate the scale items to be used in the research that were either developed specifically for the study, or modified from previous studies. A self-administered questionnaire was administered among senior students studying management or computer sciences. All the students were asked to respond to various items keeping in mind (or imagining) that they were planning to buy a product (namely, a motor-cycle) in the near future.

Checking for dimensionality of construct

One of the objectives of the pretest was to establish one dimensional nature of scales for further research. Single dimensionality refers to the existence of a single construct explaining a set of attributes (Segars, 1997) and is considered as an important aspect of theory testing using structural equation modeling (SEM) (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988).

24.2

APIML

To detect scale dimensionality, an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) with a principal component method was conducted for each construct.

Principal component analysis with orthogonal rotation was used for factor analysis. No "minimum eigenvalue" condition or "number of factors to be retained" condition was pre-specified. The Cronbach α or reliability coefficient for each scale was computed. The items used for data collection along with the results of EFA and other reliability measures are given in Appendix 2.

Convergent and discriminant validity

The final scales were tested for convergent validity (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988; Bagozzi, 1981; Fornell and Larcker, 1981). All the items significantly loaded on to the hypothesized construct in the measurement model (X^2 (269, 473) = 553.52, p < 0.00, X^2 /df = 2.06, GFI = 0.91, CFI = 0.96, TLI = 0.95, IFI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.047, p = 0.83) thereby showing support for convergent validity. In addition, the Cronbach α of the scales ranged between 0.88 and 0.91 (recommended cut off 0.7, refer Hinkin (1995)) and average variance extracted by the scales of each construct was in the range of 0.51-0.7 (recommended cut off 0.5, refer Hinkin (1995)) thereby exhibiting satisfactory performance and single dimensional structure of scales (Table I).

Discriminant validity was assessed by using two methods. In Method-1 two models were tested for every possible pair of constructs. In the first model the correlation parameter was constrained between each pair of constructs to 1.00. The results were then compared to the second model which was estimated as unconstrained model (i.e. free model). A significantly lower χ^2 value for the unconstrained (free) model demonstrated that discriminant validity had been achieved (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988; Bagozzi, 1994). In Method-2 the average variance extracted (AVE) values associated with each construct were compared with the correlations among constructs (Staples *et al.*, 1999). Using Method-1 it was found that the χ^2 differences in all the pair of models was significantly lower for the unconstrained model, showing support for discriminant validity between the pairs. In Method-2 the square root of average variance extracted for each construct was higher than its correlation with other constructs, for example, prior product knowledge had an AVE of 0.71 as compared to its correlation of 0.28 – 0.54 with other constructs thereby providing further evidence for discriminant validity (Table II).

Thus, the dimensionality as well as the convergent and discriminant validity of all scales is established.

Research design: main study

Since the proposed model deals with psychological constructs, these constructs are expected to result in unreliable data if captured after the completion of process and can

	AVE	Construct reliability
Perceived ability to search (ab)	0.54	0.89
Motivation to search (mot)	0.59	0.91
Prior product knowledge (ppk)	0.51	0.88
Perceived value of additional information (voi)	0.70	0.90

Note: For establishing convergent validity the value of average variance extracted (AVE) should be greater than 0.5 and the value of construct reliability should be greater than 0.7

Table I. Scale reliability (convergent validity)

be better captured reliably while the process is still active (in our case the process is information search process). But a part of the model also tests the relationship between motivation to search and actual information search which is the final outcome variable and can only be captured once the information search is over. Hence, a longitudinal design consisting of two different surveys (using same set of customers) was planned. All variables except actual information search variables were captured in the first survey. In the second stage, data was collected by means of call-back surveys, on the - measures pertaining to the amount of actual information search.

In literature, information search behavior for both durable as well as non-durable product categories has been studied. Table III provides a summary of product categories used in literature to model the determinants of pre-purchase information search. Around 50 percent of studies (total number of studies were 42) use durable product category with maximum number of studies using car buyer or electronic good buyers as the subjects.

The choice of product category for this study was taken based on the product category largely studied in the literature as well as its relevance to Indian market context. The product category chosen for the study was motorcycles, because the purchase of this category signifies a relatively involved purchase decision and it forms one of the largest purchases of automobiles in Indian market. According to the figures published by Society of Indian Automobile Manufacturers (SIAM, 2011), the share of two-wheelers in automobile sector in terms of units sold has been around 75 percent between 2004-2005 and 2010-2011 (Figure 3).

As of 2010, the Indian two-wheeler industry is the second largest in the world and has grown at a compounded annual growth rate (CAGR) of ~ 10 percent in last seven years (source: SIAM data). The motorcycle industry constitutes 81.5 percent of total two-wheeler market in India. This high growth has been fuelled by Ghosh *et al.* (2011):

	ab	mot	ppk	voi
Perceived ability to search (ab)	0.73			
Motivation to search (mot)	0.54	0.76		
Prior product knowledge (ppk)	0.54	0.32	0.71	
Perceived value of additional information (voi)	0.53	0.42	0.28	0.84

Table II. Scale reliability

(discriminant validity)

Notes: The diagonal elements are the square root of the variance shared between the constructs and their measures (i.e. the average variance extracted); off diagonal elements are the correlations between constructs; for discriminant validity, the diagonal elements should be larger than any other corresponding row or column

	Product category	Percentage of studies in literature
	Automobiles	24
	Clothes	10
	Durable product (except auto)	26
Table III.	Non durable	33
Product category used	Others	7

24.2

APIML

- inadequate public transportation system, especially in the semi-urban and rural areas;
- increased availability of cheap consumer financing in the past three to four years;
- · increasing availability of fuel-efficient and low-maintenance models;
- · increasing urbanisation, which creates a need for personal transportation;
- changes in the demographic profile;
- difference between two-wheeler and passenger car prices, which makes two-wheelers the entry level vehicle;
- steady increase in *per capita* income over the past five years; and
- increasing number of models with different features to satisfy diverse consumer needs.

This industry has also seen spate of product innovation and frequent launches due to stringer emission norms, new technical collaborations and intense competition (Ghosh *et al.*, 2011). There were around 57 new models introduced in the market between 2006 and 2010 with around ten more in the pipeline (Ghosh *et al.*, 2011) providing adequate variety to the consumers.

Data collection

The data for this research was collected using a self administered questionnaire from consumers who were in the process of buying a motorcycle. The data was collected from the retail outlets of a leading motorcycle manufacturer in a major market in India during 2007-2008. The customers who came to purchase or inquire about the purchase of a new motorcycle were intercepted and requested to participate in this research. At the end of the shop intercept interview, the respondents were requested to provide their contact telephone numbers, for possible call-back interviews for additional information.

In the first stage, data was collected from 504 respondents. For getting these responses a total of 703 customers were contacted (response rate 71.6 percent). In the second (call back) stage, all those respondents who had provided usable information (487 respondents) in the first stage were contacted. The response rate in second stage was around 14.9 percent (73 respondents). This response rate compares well with the standard response rates obtained in telephonic surveys of 11.5 percent (Roster *et al.*, 2004).



Figure 3. Automotive production in India

Source: Data extracted from SIAM, available at: www. siamindia.com/

The role of

269

APJML 24,2	<i>Data analysis</i> SEM was used to empirically test the relationships between the constructs (up to motivation to search) in this study. SEM allows simultaneous estimation of:
	• a measurement model that relates the items in each scale to the construct they represent, giving factor loadings for each item; and
270	• a structural model that relates constructs to one another, providing parameter values (i.e. path coefficients).

This method was chosen so that both an a priori model accounting for measurement error in the construct and their respective scale measurements and simultaneous estimation of those relationships for the complex model could be achieved (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988).

However, due to limited usable responses in the call-back phase, adequate data was not available to include the actual amount of information search in the SEM. A separate regression model was estimated to check for the association of amount of information search with the motivation construct.

The properties of the items of the constructs in the proposed model and the hypotheses were tested using the AMOS 4 SEM analysis package with maximum likelihood (ML) method of estimation (see Anderson and Gerbing (1988), Bollen (1989) and Hayduk (1987) for recommendations for ML) in combination with the two-stage process recommended by Sethi and King (1994) and Anderson and Gerbing (1988). The single dimensionality of congeneric measures and test for discriminant and convergent validity of all constructs were conducted before testing the structural relationships of the model.

Results

The main results of the model estimation are shown in Figure 4. Results indicate that six of the seven tested hypotheses were supported. The hypothesis that was proposed to examine the relationship between prior product knowledge and perceived value of additional information (H6) was found to be significant but did not support the hypothesized direction of the relationship. Hypothesized relationships between prior product knowledge and perceived ability to search, motivation to search and perceived



Figure 4. Model results ability to search, motivation to search and perceived value of additional information were found to be significant.

The model shows an acceptable fit $[X^2 (271, 487) = 640.252, p < 0.00, X^2/df = 2.36, GFI = 0.9, CFI = 0.95, TLI = 0.94, IFI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.05, p = 0.17] (Byrne, 2001; Hair$ *et al.* $, 1998; Hoyle and Panter, 1995). Thus, the model showed an overall acceptable fit (on <math>\chi^2$, relative χ^2 , GFI, CFI, TLI and RMSEA) and had no Heywood cases.

Testing for mediation

Amount of information search was hypothesized to have positive relationship with motivation to search. It was further hypothesized that motivation to search mediates the relationship between amount of information search and prior product knowledge. For testing these hypotheses a linear regression model was run because the sample size was too small to estimate a structure equation model (Byrne, 2001; Jiang *et al.*, 2011). A summated score was computed for unidimensional scales of prior product knowledge and motivation to search.

Results show that the motivation to search positively impacts the amount of search, thereby providing support for H8. Baron and Kenny (1986) approach was used for testing H1, wherein mediation can be assessed by using a sequence of independent regression equations to measure the various paths as follows:

- variations in levels of the independent variable significantly account for variations in the presumed mediator (i.e. path X);
- variations in the mediator significantly account for variations in the dependent variable (i.e. path Y); and
- when paths Y and X are controlled, a previously significant relation between the independent and dependent variable is no longer significant, with the strongest demonstration of mediation occurring when path Z is zero (Figure 5).

Following this approach the amount of information search was regressed with prior product knowledge, i.e. test path Z (refer model 1 in Table III) and path Z was found to be positive and significant. Amount of information search was also regressed with motivation to search (path Y) and path Y was also found to be significant and positive (refer model 2 in Table III). Similarly, when perceived motivation to search was regressed with prior product knowledge, i.e. test path X (refer model 3 in Table III), the path was also found to be significant. However, when amount of information search was regressed with both motivation to search and prior product knowledge, the coefficient between prior product knowledge and amount of information search (i.e. path Z) became insignificant (refer models 4 and 5 in Table III) thereby supporting H2.

Analysis of hypothesis

Hypothesis 1a and 1b

The relationship of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived ability to search.

The relationship of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived value of additional information.



This hypothesis postulates that the effect of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information. We test this hypothesis by introducing a direct effect path from prior product knowledge to motivation to search in addition to the mediated paths (mediated through perceived ability to search). The results show that the direct path is insignificant thereby showing complete mediation. The results suggest that prior product knowledge does not directly impacts motivation to search but influence perceived ability to search which further influence motivation to search.

These results are in agreement with Vroom's theory which suggests that antecedents of motivation are VIE (Vroom, 1964). Hence, any variable which influences motivation should influence through these antecedents.

Hypothesis 2

The relationship of prior product knowledge to information search is mediated by motivation to search.

H1 postulated that motivation to search is a more proximal variable to prior product knowledge than amount of information search. The regression results show that the hypothesis is not rejected. Thus, one can infer that measuring motivation to search is more appealing because motivation to search is more proximal to other variables. This view is well documented in motivation theory literature for example, Mitchell (1982) argues that, "[...] if one wants to assess the changes of motivation or influences of interventions on motivation, then one must measure motivation and its contribution to behavior [...]". This also enables us to propose a framework of information search which is more parsimonious and is theoretically grounded in the theories of motivations.

Hypothesis 3

There is a positive relationship between the perceived ability to search and the motivation to search of consumer during pre-purchase information search process.

In *H3*, it was postulated that perceived ability to search is positively related to motivation to search. The results of the SEM analysis did not reject this hypothesis. Motivation to search is found to be significantly predicted by perceived ability to search ($\beta = 0.44$, p < 0.00).

Though, the relationship between these two constructs has not been empirically tested in consumer information search literature but these results are consistent with the results done in related fields. For example, expectancy theory has also shown that expectancy is positively correlated to information search (Eerde and Thierry, 1996). In information search literature also Duncan and Olshavsky (1982) show that the consumer's belief in her ability to judge is positively related to information search. Putrevu and Ratchford (1997) also show that perceived ability to judge is positively related to information search.

Thus, the result show that perceived ability to search increases the self confidence of consumer in collecting the information available from various sources thereby has positive relationship with motivation to search. Hence, one can infer that higher the perceived ability to search of the consumer higher would be her motivation to invest in information search.

Hypothesis 4

Prior product knowledge is positively related to the perceived ability to search.

In *H4*, it was postulated that consumers who have high prior product knowledge have higher perceived ability to search. This hypothesis was also not rejected by the data analysis. The relationship between prior product knowledge and perceived ability to search was found significant ($\beta = 0.55$, p < 0.00). Results indicate that as customer's knowledge about the product category increases her confidence in her ability to collect information from various sources also increases.

This result is consistent with the studies in the related fields where researchers have found that higher familiarity with the context increases the self efficacy for example, Torkzadeh *et al.* (1999) found that computer training increased computer related self efficacy. Also, Celsi and Olson (1988) and Bettman and Park (1980) have argued that lack of prior knowledge structures inhibit the low knowledge customer's ability to process information.

Hypothesis 5

The relationship between perceived value of additional information and motivation to search is positive.

This hypothesis postulates that higher perceived value of additional information is related to higher motivation to search and vice-versa. The data analysis did not reject this hypothesis ($\beta = 0.22, p < 0.00$) thereby suggesting that as the perceived value of information increases there is an increase in the motivation to do more information search. The perceived value of information as measured is a composite

APJML measure of perceived importance of purchase decision and the probability that the information search would help in providing information that would help in improving the decision. Thus, this hypothesis suggests that whenever the customer believes that the "relevant" information is available in the market, the motivation to involve in information search activity is higher. This result is consistent with the Vroom's (1964) theory of motivation, which

This result is consistent with the Vroom's (1964) theory of motivation, which suggests that higher the instrumentality/valence higher would be the motivation. There is an indirect support for this relation in information search literature also where researchers have shown that customers continue to search for information till the marginal utility of search turns to zero (Stigler, 1961; Ratchford and Srinivasan, 1993, p. 1148; Srinivasan and Ratchford, 1991, p. 869).

Hypothesis 6

The relationship between perceived value of additional information and prior product knowledge is negative.

This hypothesis postulates that as the knowledge about product category increases the perceived value of information, which can be collected from different sources decreases. This hypothesis was not supported by the data analysis ($\beta = 0.23$, p < 0.00). Results indicate that there is a positive relationship between prior product knowledge and perceived value of information. This suggests that as the knowledge about product category increases the perceived value of additional information also increases. A detailed discussion on the possible reasons for these results is discussed in next section.

Hypothesis 7

Motivation to search is positively related to amount of information search.

This hypothesis postulates that motivation positively impact actual behavior. This hypothesis cannot be rejected based on analysis results ($\beta = 0.65, p < 0.05$). This view is supported in motivation literature also where researchers argues that motivation in itself cannot explain 100 percent variance in behavior and it interacts with other variables to result into performance (Mitchell, 1982).

Discussion

All hypothesis proposed in the study were supported by the data except H6 (refer Table IV for summary of results). This hypothesis postulated that as the prior knowledge about the product category increases the perceived value of additional information decreases.

Models	Dependent	Independent	β	t- value	$\Pr > t $
Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Model 4	Amt of search Amt of search Motivation to search Amt of search	Prior product knowledge Motivation to search Prior product knowledge Motivation to search Prior product knowledge	0.74 0.87 0.46 0.76 0.38	2.01 3.27 3.00 2.7 1.02	0.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.31

Table IV. Mediation results For *H6*, the empirical analysis indicated that there exists a positive relationship between prior product knowledge and perceived value of additional information. This reversal of the hypothesized effects may have happened because of the following reasons: Moreau *et al.* (2001) show that consumers who are indeed (objectively) knowledgeable about the product category (experts) compared to others (novices) tend to rate their comprehension lower than the novices. Also Wood and Lynch (2002) find that consumers tend to explore more, when she perceives significant novelty for a product in which she has a high prior product knowledge. This extended exploration may be caused by a need for a deeper understanding of the perceived shrinkage in category comprehension due to a proliferation of novelty in the product category.

It seems plausible that such a relationship may indeed exist in rapidly evolving markets. In these markets, the consumer might be driven to value additional information to a larger extent because the product market itself undergoes reasonably rapid changes. As stated earlier, the motorcycle category in India has grown at a CAGR of 10 percent since 2004-2005 and many new models have been introduced nationally. This, in the context of the consumer durable sector in India, is a relatively high rate of product proliferation. Furthermore, there have been new sub-categories defined and a host of new features added to the product (e.g. disc brakes, four-stroke engines to comply with stricter emission norms, self-staring engines, high engine capacity, etc.). This suggests a possibility that consumers with relatively high category knowledge consider some of the product introductions and modifications as reasonably novel and thereby leading them to more information search.

Comparison with existing models (nested models)

This research also compared the proposed model with two different conceptual models: model 1 in which prior product knowledge directly impacts motivation of search, model 2 where the relationship between prior product knowledge and motivation to search is mediated by perceived value of additional information.

With these conceptualizations, an attempt was made to compare the proposed model with other existing models in the literature (by using approximate proxies for the variables that do not have one to one correspondence). For example, motivation to search was used as a proxy for amount of information search and perceived value of additional information was used as a proxy for perceived benefit net of perceived cost of search. This way, model 2 in Table V closely approximated to Srinivasan and Ratchford (1991).

Table V shows that our conceptualization of model had lower χ^2 , AIC and BIC as compared to other nested models. This indicated a superior fit of the proposed model over other conceptualizations in the extant literature. Moreover, expected cross validation index (ECVI) also shows that the proposed model had better cross validation possibility as compared to other nested models (Table VI) (Browne and Cudeck, 1989).

Conclusion

This study concludes that the relationship between prior product knowledge and amount of information search is mediated by motivation to search. This clearly establishes the need to study motivation to search as an antecedent to amount of information search. The study further finds that relationship between prior product knowledge and motivation to search is mediated by perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional

APJIVIL 24.2		Hypotheses	Path coefficients	Significance	Results
<i>2</i> 1, <i>2</i>	H1(a)	The relationship of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived ability	Direct path is not	tsignificant	Supported
276	H1(b)	The relationship of prior product knowledge to motivation to search is mediated by perceived value	Direct path is no	t significant	Supported
	H2	of additional information The relationship of prior product knowledge to information search is mediated by motivation to	Direct path is no	t significant	Supported
	НЗ	Search There is a positive relationship between the perceived ability to search and the motivation to search of consumer during pre-purchase information search	0.44	0	Supported
	H4	Product knowledge has a positive effect on the	0.55	0	Supported
	H5	The relationship between perceived value of additional information and motivation to search is	0.22	0	Supported
Table V. Summary of hypothesis	H6	The relationship between perceived value of additional information and prior product knowledge	0.23	0	Not supported
	H7	Motivation to search is positively related to amount of information search	0.65	0.05	Supported
	Fit me	easure Propose	d model N	lodel 1	Model 2
	Discre Degre P	epancy 2,492 es of freedom 1,214	2.016 2 4 1	,666.27 ,216 0.00	2,653.97 1,216 0.00

Table VI.Nested model comparison

Number of parameters

Browne-Cudeck criterion

Bayes information criterion

b value

GFI

ECVI

MECVI

Discrepancy/df

Consistent AIC

ECVI lower bound

ECVI upper bound

 χ^2 difference (our model – other models)

Akaike information criterion (AIC)

information thereby uncovering the process by which prior knowledge influences the motivation to search. The model is tested in context of motorcycle purchase in Indian market. This product category forms the largest purchase category within automotive sector and has seen lot of new product introductions in past few years.

112

2.053

0.83

2,716.016

2,742.855

3,625.466

3,297.102

5.589

5.302

5.89

5.644

110

174.258

0.00

2.193

0.817

5.939

5.64

6.254

5.993

2,886.27

2,912.63

3,779.48

3,456.98

110

161.956

0.00

2.183

0.818

5.914

5.615

6.228

5.968

2,873.97

2,900.33 3,767.18

3,444.68

The study contributes in four different ways. First, the study introduces and establishes relationship between prior product knowledge and motivation to search through two mediators: perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information. In the process expectancy theory is invoked to introduce new variables and hypothesize relationships between these variables. The model also incorporates the essence of the existing information search literature frameworks, i.e. the cost-benefit framework by modeling perceived value of additional information.

Second, the study introduces a motivational measure of search in the literature and shows that the motivational measure is indeed the proximal measure to other antecedent constructs compared to a behavioral measure of search. Perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information are shown as important mediators between prior product knowledge and motivation to search.

Third, psychometric properties of the scales measuring prior product knowledge, perceived ability to search and motivation to search were developed and tested. These scales were constructed for the purpose of this study and their convergent and discriminant validities were tested within the nomological space of the model.

And finally, the model was tested with real consumer data actively involved in the search process in the context of an important emerging market. In comparison to the extant survey literature, where university student data in passive survey environment is used to validate theoretical models, the contribution of this research may be more construed as more generalizable.

The findings have direct implications for practicing managers. Understanding why some customers engage in more information search as compared to others can help managers and marketers develop marketing communications more effectively. The findings suggest that perceived ability to search and perceived value of additional information are two important levers that managers could use for achieving desired results. Furthermore, perceived ability to search is an important mediator, which completely mediates the relationship between prior product knowledge and motivation to search. These findings also provide strong indications about the need to simplify the search process for consumers especially in the context when novelty is predominantly marketed. For example, a new market entrant with the desire to enhance the customer search process (to increase the probability of inclusion of her brand in the consideration set), should invest in supplementing the consumer's perceived ability to search since abilities are stronger predictors of motivation to search. On the other hand established brands may want to communicate messages imploring consumers not to shop around anymore, since it is simply not worth the effort. The findings of this research are also important from educational training of firms. The results illustrate the information search process of consumer and what impacts it (positively/negatively) thereby helping to identify the optimal levers to work with from organization perspective.

The results of research have relevance from societal stand point from two perspectives – policy makers' and individual consumer perspective. The paper draws form the motivation theories to develop and test the framework of information search behaivour. Results show that perceived ability and value of information are critical antecedents of motivation to search. From a policy maker perspective, it is important to have optimal utilization of available resources. The results show that policy maker should invest and facilitate endeavors that help in improving the perceived ability of consumers so that they are able to actively engage in the information search process and are benefited by it.

These findings are also important from an individual customer perspective. As an individual customer, the results highlight the importance of investment

APJML 24,2

 $\mathbf{278}$

in developing knowledge around product category before getting engaged in active search for information.

Above all, the paper helps in extending documented knowledge of an important aspect of consumer behaviour, namely the heterogeneity in their information search behaviour prior to making purchases. It therefore makes a significant contribution to the existing body of knowledge in this area.

Limitation and future research

The limitations of this study emanates from the less than expected response rate in the second stage call back data collection. The usable sample size in the second stage was 73 respondents. Although, the response rate is similar to the ones reported in the literature, this made the SEM estimation of the full blown model not viable. The full-blown model estimation might have given efficient parameters (unbiased estimate of variance); however, the parameter estimates of the current model are consistent and unbiased.

Few issues deserve the attention of future researchers as a result of the findings and limitations of this study. Directional support for H6 was not found, however it may be hypothesized that H6 would have been supported had the model been estimated using data from more mature markets and categories such as the ones in the USA. The reversal of the hypothesized directionality of H6 suggests that there is an opportunity to refine the framework developed in this study by incorporating the concept of *novelty*. The results of this study indicate that there might be a moderation of some "novelty effect" between prior product knowledge and perceived value of additional information.

Another aspect of search could in understanding the relative importance of perceived ability and perceived value of additional information in influencing motivation to search. This research shows that the path coefficient of perceived ability to search was stronger than perceived value of additional information. But there is an opportunity to systematically test the relationships and compare the influence of these two constructs on motivation. Besides, future research can also test the model by incorporating other constructs in the information search literature like costs of search, involvement and uncertainty-avoidance.

References

- Alba, J.W. and Hutchinson, J.W. (1987), "Dimensions of consumer expertise", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 13, p. 411.
- Anderson, J.C. and Gerbing, D.W. (1988), "Structural equation modeling in practice: a review and recommended two-step approach", *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 103, pp. 411-23.
- Anderson, R.D., Engledow, J.L. and Becker, H. (1979), "Evaluating the relationships among attitude toward business, product satisfaction, experience, and search effort", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 16, p. 394.
- Bagozzi, R.P. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: a comment", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18, p. 375.
- Bagozzi, R.P. (1994) in Bagozzi, R.P. (Ed.), *Principles of Marketing Research*, Blackwell Business, Malden, MA.
- Baron, R.M. and Kenny, D.A. (1986), "The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic and statistical considerations", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 51, pp. 1173-82.

Basu, K. (1993), "Consumers' categorization processes: an examination with two alternatives methodological paradigms", <i>Journal of Consumer Psychology</i> , Vol. 2, p. 97.	The role of
Baye, M.R., Morgan, J. and Scholten, P. (2006) in Hendershott, T. (Ed.), <i>Handbook of Economics</i> and Information Systems, Elsevier, Oxford.	knowledge
Bearden, W.O. (2001), "Consumer self-confidence: refinements in conceptualization and measurement", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 28, p. 121.	
Beatty, S.E. and Smith, S.M. (1987), "External search effort: an investigation across several product categories", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 14, p. 83.	279
Bei, LT. and Widdows, R. (1999), "Product knowledge and product involvement as moderators of the effects of information on purchase decisions: a case study using the perfect information frontier approach", <i>Journal of Consumer Affairs</i> , Vol. 33, p. 165.	
Bettman, J.R. and Park, C.W. (1980), "Effects of prior knowledge and experience and phase of the choice process on consumer decision processes: a protocol analysis", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 7, p. 234.	
Biswas, D. (2004), "Economics of information in the web economy: towards a new theory?", <i>Journal of Business Research</i> , Vol. 57, pp. 724-33.	
Bollen, K.A. (1989), Structural Equations with Latent Variables, Wiley, New York, NY.	
Browne, M.W. and Cudeck, R. (1989), "Single sample cross-validation indices for covariance structures", <i>Multivariate Behavioral Research</i> , Vol. 24, pp. 445-55.	
Brucks, M. (1985), "The effects of product class knowledge on information search behavior", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 12, p. 1.	
Brucks, M. and Schurr, P.H. (1985), "Decision making and information search in multiple-opponent bargaining", Advances in Consumer Research, Vol. 12, p. 437.	
Byrne, B.M. (2001), <i>Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications and Programming</i> , Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, NJ.	
Celsi, R.L. and Olson, J.C. (1988), "The role of involvement in attention and comprehension processes", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 15, pp. 210-24.	
Chandler, K.M.M. and Crown, E.M. (1991), "Consumer information and education effects on knowledge and choice of fire resistant upholstery", <i>Journal of Consumer Affairs</i> , Vol. 25, p. 339.	
Chao, P. and Gupta, P.B. (1995), "Information search and efficiency of consumer choices of new cars", <i>International Marketing Review</i> , Vol. 12, p. 47.	
Churchill, G.A. (1979), "A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs", <i>Journal of Marketing Research</i> , Vol. 16, pp. 64-73.	
Coleman, W. and Warren, W.E. (1995), "Perceived risk and the information search process in the selection of a new dentist: an empirical investigation", <i>Health Marketing Quarterly</i> , Vol. 13, p. 27.	
Coupey, E., Irwin, J.R. and Payne, J.W. <i>et al.</i> , (1998), "Product category familarity and preference construction", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 24, p. 459.	
Cox, A., Granbois, D. and Summers, J. (1983), "Planning, search, certainty and satisfaction among durables buyers: a longitudinal study", <i>Advances in Consumer Research</i> , Vol. X, pp. 394-9.	
Doh, S. (2001), "Knowledge, cost, and information search", Asia Pacific Advances in Consumer Research, Vol. 4, pp. 122-6.	
Duncan, C.P. and Olshavsky, R.W. (1982), "External search: the role of consumer beliefs", <i>Journal</i> of Marketing Research, Vol. 19, p. 32.	
Eastin, M.S. and LaRose, R. (2000), "Internet self-efficacy and the psychology of the digital divide", <i>Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication</i> , Vol. 6.	

APJML	Eerde, V.W. and Thierry, H. (1996), "Vroom's expectancy models and work-related criteria: a meta-analysis", <i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i> , Vol. 81, p. 575.
24,2	Engel, J.F., Kollat, D.T. and Blackwell, R.D. (1968), <i>Consumer Behavior</i> , Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, NY.
	Flynn, L.R. and Goldsmith, R.E. (1996), "Opinion leaders and opinion seekers: two new measurement scales", <i>Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science</i> , Vol. 24, pp. 137-48.
280	Fodness, D. and Murray, B. (1997), "Tourist information search", <i>Annals of Tourism Research</i> , Vol. 24, pp. 503-23.
	Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: algebra and statistics", <i>Journal of Marketing Research</i> , Vol. 18, p. 382.
	Fudge, R.S. and Schlacter, J.L. (1999), "Motivating employees to act ethically: an expectancy theory approach", <i>Journal of Business Ethics</i> , Vol. 18, pp. 295-304.
	Ghosh, A., Ray, S. and Makkar, J. (2011), <i>Two-Wheeler Industry: Growth Drivers Intact</i> , ICRA Limited, p. 23.
	Grant, R., Clarke, R.J. and Kyriazis, E. (2007), "A review of factors affecting online consumer search behaviour from an information value perspective", <i>Journal of Marketing Management</i> , Vol. 23, pp. 519-33.
	Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. and Black, W.C. (1998), <i>Multivariate Data Analysis</i> , Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
	Hayduk, L.A. (1987), <i>Structural Equation Modeling with LISREL</i> , The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
	Hinkin, T.R. (1995), "A review of scale development practices in the study of organizations", <i>Journal of Management</i> , Vol. 21, pp. 967-88.
	Howard, J.A. and Sheth, J.N. (1969), The Theory of Buyer Behavior, Wiley, New York, NY.
	Hoyle, R.H. and Panter, A.T. (1995) in Hoyle, R.H. (Ed.), <i>Structural Equation Modeling Concepts, Issues and Applications</i> , Sage, New Delhi.
	Hu, J., Huhmann, B.A. and Hyman, M.R. (2007), "The relationship between task complexity and information search: the role of self-efficacy", <i>Psychology & Marketing</i> , Vol. 24, pp. 253-70.
	Jacoby, J., Chestnut, R.W. and Fisher, W.A. (1978), "A behavioral process approach to information acquisition in nondurable purchasing", <i>Journal of Marketing Research</i> , Vol. 15, p. 532.
	Jacoby, J., Hoyer, W. and Brief, A. (Eds) (1992), <i>Consumer Psychology</i> , Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto, CA.
	Jiang, J., Chou, T.J. and Tao, X. (2011), "The impact of price discount, product complementarity and relational investment on customer loyalty: empirical evidence from China's telecommunications industry", <i>Asian Journal of Marketing</i> , Vol. 5, pp. 1-16.
	Johnson, E.J. and Russo, J.E. (1984), "Product familiarity and learning new information", <i>Journal</i> of Consumer Research, Vol. 11, p. 542.
	Kopf, J.M. (1992), "Force versus choice, or force and choice? An integrative model of expectancy theory", Human Resource Management Review, Vol. 2, Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 131.
	Lee, H., Herr, P.M., Kardes, F.R. and Kim, C. (1999), "Motivated search; effects of choice accountability, issue involvement, and prior knowledge on information acquisition and use", <i>Journal of Business Research</i> , Vol. 45, pp. 75-88.
	Levin, I.P., Huneke, M.E. and Jasper, J.D. (2000), "Information processing at successive stages of decision making: need for cognition and inclusion-exclusion effects", Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, Vol. 82, pp. 171-93.

Loibl, C., Cho, S.H., Diekmann, F. and Batte, M.T. (2009), "Consumer self-confidence in searching for information", <i>Journal of Consumer Affairs</i> , Vol. 43, pp. 26-55.	The role of
Lufi, D. and Cohen, A. (1987), "A scale for measuring persistence in children", Journal of Personality Assessment, Vol. 51, pp. 178-85.	knowledge
Mitchell, A.A. and Dacin, P.A. (1996), "The assessment of alternative measures of consumer expertise", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 23, pp. 219-39.	
Mitchell, T.R. (1982), "Motivation: new directions for theory, research, and practice", <i>Academy of</i> <i>Management Review</i> , Vol. 7, pp. 80-8.	281
Moore, C.W. and Pride, W.M. (1980), "Selected effects of qualifying statements regarding technical performance claims: an experimental investigation", <i>Journal of Advertising</i> , Vol. 9, p. 20.	
Moorthy, S., Ratchford, B.T. and Talukdar, D. (1997), "Consumer information search revisited: theory and empirical analysis", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 23, p. 263.	
Moreau, C.P., Lehmann, D.R. and Markman, A.B. (2001), "Entrenched knowledge structures and consumer response to new products", <i>Journal of Marketing Research</i> , Vol. 38, p. 14.	
Newman, J.W. and Staelin, R. (1971), "Multivariate analysis of differences in buyer time", <i>Journal of Marketing Research</i> , Vol. VIII, pp. 192-8.	
Ozanne, J.L. and Brucks, M. (1992), "A study of information search behavior during the categorization of new products", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 18, p. 452.	
Park, C.W. and Mothersbaugh, D.L. (1994), "Consumer knowledge assessment", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 21, p. 71.	
Petty, R.E. and Cacioppo, J.T. (1981), "Issue involvement as a moderator of the effects on attitude of advertising content and context", <i>Advances in Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 8, pp. 20-4.	
Pratt, M.A. (1998), "Information search for a service: the contrast continues", Asia Pacific Advances in Consumer Research, Vol. 3, pp. 220-8.	
Punj, G. and Brookes, R. (2001), "Decision constraints and consideration-set formation in consumer durables", Psychology & Marketing, Vol. 18, p. 843.	
Punj, G.N. and Staelin, R. (1983), "A model of consumer information search behavior for new automobiles", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 9, p. 366.	
Putrevu, S. and Lord, K.R. (2001), "Search dimensions, patterns and segment profiles of grocery shoppers", <i>Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services</i> , Vol. 8, pp. 127-37.	
Putrevu, S. and Ratchford, B.T. (1997), "A model of search behavior with an application to grocery shopping", <i>Journal of Retailing</i> , Vol. 73, p. 463.	
Ratchford, B.T. (2001), "The economics of consumer knowledge", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 27, p. 397.	
Ratchford, B.T. and Srinivasan, N. (1993), "An empirical investigation of returns to search", <i>Marketing Science</i> , Vol. 12, pp. 73-88.	
Roster, C.A., Rogers, R.D., Albaum, G. and Klein, D. (2004), "A comparison of response characteristics from web and telephone surveys", <i>International Journal of Market Research</i> , Vol. 46, pp. 359-73.	
Ryan, R.M. (1982), "Control and information in the intrapersonal sphere: an extension of cognitive evaluation theory", <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , Vol. 43, pp. 450-61.	
Schmidt, J.B. and Spreng, R.A. (1996), "A proposed model of external consumer information search", <i>Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science</i> , Vol. 24, p. 246.	
Schwarzer, R. and Jerusalem, M. (1995), "Measures in health psychology: a user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs", in Weinman, J., Wright, S. and Johnston, M. (Eds), <i>Generalized</i> <i>Self-Efficacy Scal</i> , NFER-NELSON, Windsor.	

APJML	Segars, A.H. (1997), "Assessing the unidimensionality of measurement: a paradigm and illustration within the context of information systems research", <i>Omega</i> , Vol. 25, pp. 107-21.
24,2	Selnes, F. and Troye, S.V. (1989), "Buying expertise, information search, and problem solving", Journal of Economic Psychology, Vol. 10, pp. 411-28.
282	Sethi, V. and King, W.R. (1994), "Development of measures to assess the extent to which an information technology application", <i>Management Science</i> , Vol. 40, INFORMS: Institute for Operations Research, Hanover, MD, p. 1601.
	Shafizadeh, M. (2007), "Relationships between goal orientation, motivational climate and perceived ability with intrinsic motivation and performance in physical education university students", <i>Journal of Applied Science</i> , Vol. 7, pp. 2866-70.
	SIAM (2011), Automobile Production Trends, Society of Indian Automobile Manufacturers.
	Srinivasan, N. (1987), "A path analytic model of external search for information for new automobiles", <i>Advances in Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 14, p. 319.
	Srinivasan, N. and Agrawal, J. (1988), "The relationship between prior knowledge and external search", Advances in Consumer Research, Vol. 15, p. 27.
	Srinivasan, N. and Ratchford, B.T. (1991), "An empirical test of a model of external search for automobiles", <i>Journal of Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 18, p. 233.
	Staples, D.S., Hulland, J.S. and Higgins, C.A. (1999), "A self-efficacy theory explanation for the management of remote workers in virtual organizations", <i>Organization Science</i> , Vol. 10, pp. 758-76.
	Sternthal, B. and Craig, S.C. (1982), <i>Consumer Behavior: An Information Processing Perspective</i> , Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
	Stigler, G.J. (1961), "Economics of information", Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 69, pp. 213-25.
	Sundaram, D.S. and Taylor, R.D. (1998), "An investigation of external information search effort: replication in in-home shopping situations", <i>Advances in Consumer Research</i> , Vol. 25, p. 440.
	Swan, J.E. (1969), "Experimental analysis of predecision information seeking", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 6, p. 192.
	Torkzadeh, R., Pflughoeft, K. and Hall, L. (1999), "Computer self-efficacy, training effectiveness and user attitudes: an empirical study", <i>Behaviour & Information Technology</i> , Vol. 18, pp. 299-309.
	Vroom, V.H. (1964), Work and Motivation, Wiley, New York, NY.
	Wanous, J., Keon, T. and Latack, J. (1983), "Expectancy theory and occupancy/organizational choice: a review and test", Organizational Behavior & Human Performance, Vol. 32, pp. 66-86.
	Wood, S.L. and Lynch, J.G. (2002), "Prior knowledge and complacency in new product learning", Journal of Consumer Research, Vol. 29, pp. 416-26.
	Corresponding author Dheeraj Awasthy can be contacted at: dawasthy@rediffmail.com
	To purchase reprints of this article please e-mail: reprints@emeraldinsight.com
	Or visit our web site for further details: www.emeraldinsight.com/reprints

								Ap
Author, year, publication	Measure of product knowledge	Measure of IS	Hypothesized relation with IS	Actual relationship to IS	Product category	Methodology	No. of subjects	pendix
Swan (1969), <i>JMR</i>	Subjective confidence in choice	Number of information sought	Negative	Negative (IS first increased then decreased)	Four new brands of shirts	Experimental (2 (continuity) * 2(decision	80	1
Newman and Staelin (1971),	Purchase experience and brand related experience	Total time taken	Negative	Positive for zero and one year of experience and negative for more	Cars and household appliances	Survey	652 (217 car and 435 others)	
Jacoby et al. (1978), JMR	Brands recalled; brands purchased last year, frequency of purchasing and consumption and drv	Depth, sequence and content using information display board	Negative	years or expension Positive	Cold breakfast cereal	Behavioral simulation	60	
Anderson <i>et al.</i> (1979),	purchased in one go Number of purchases	Subjective	Negative	Negative	Autos	Survey	431	
JMR Bettman and Park (1980), JCR	Level of prior usage or search of information (three levels: low, moderate and high)	Amount and type of information sought and kind of processing used	Inverted U (less search by consumers who have low/high knowledge and more search by moderate brownlore	Inverted U	Microwave oven	Verbal protocol	62 (23 low, 16 moderate, 23 high)	
Moore and Pride	Subjective	Amount of information	consumers) Negative	Most important explanatory of IS	Bread	Survey	120	
(TRON), JUN		sougil		negauve			(continued)	
Table AI.Summary ofliterature review						283	prior product knowledge	The role of

APJML 24,2	Vo. of ubjects	1,056	55	33	351	83	1,008	(continued)
284	Nethodology s	Survey	Experimental (Real brands)	Computer simulation	Survey	Experimental	Survey	
	Product category	Cars	New compact cars	Sewing machine	TV, VCR, computer	Newly launched portable cassette decks	Cars	
	Actual relationship to IS	$PMS \implies > ns but + UPK \implies > (-) (No support for inverted U relation, correlation between RI and AC$	was 0.0) Inverted U for choice and positive for indoment	Objective knowledge is positively related to IS	Negative	Positive	No relation (negative but not significant)	
	Hypothesized relation with IS	$PMS \implies > (+)$ UPK $\Rightarrow > (-)$	Inverted U for choice and positive for indement	1.PK \Rightarrow > variability of search (+) 2. PK \Rightarrow > Amount of search on inappropriate alternatives (-) 3. PK \Rightarrow > Number of attributes examined	Negative	Positive	Negative	
	Measure of IS	Time spent in collecting information and amount of information	Recall of information				Mean of total time taken time taken in consulting different sources	
	Measure of product knowledge	Two dimensions of PK: usable prior knowledge (UPK) and prior memory structure (PMS)	Subjective	Subjective and objective and intended usage situation	Subjective	Objective	Subjective	
Table AI.	Author, year, publication	Punj and Staelin (1983), <i>JCR</i>	Johnson and Russo (1984) <i>ICR</i>	Brucks and Schurr (1985), JCR	Beatty and Smith	Troye (1989), <i>JEP</i>	Chao and Gupta (1995), IMR	

The search mode and 51 aready and 51 aready 500 tocery Survey 531 aready 500 tocery Survey 531 aready 500 survey 531 aready 500 error on aution and audio area area area area area area area are	The search mode and 51 aready and 51 aready and 51 aready burchased) to cerve Survey 530 aready purchased) aready purchased and audio quipment survey 531 aready aready aready aready aready burchased burvey 530 aready aready aready aready aready burvey 530 aready aready aready burvey 530 aready aready aready aready burvey 530 aready aready burvey 530 aready burvey 530 aready burvey 530 aready burvey 530 aready 500 a	rocery Survey 531 hopping of onputer and of aurona 531 onputer a Survey 531 onputer a Survey 531 hopping of survey 531 hopping survey 531 hopp	trocery Survey 531 thome Survey 531	117 (66 in search mode and 51 already purchased) irocery Survey 500	ars Longitudinal survey of in process	roduct No. of No. of ategory Methodology subjects
0.24); number of tributes considered near 0.32); search fort (linear 5.27;squ 0.21); sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery rrcent) sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery rrcent) sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery and audio equipment destination equipment destination egative to cost and Personal sitive to benefit computer	0.24); number of tributes considered near 0.32); search fort (linear 5.27;squ 0.21); sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery recent) sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery recent) sitive (sig. at 93 crocery or computer and audio equipment or risk destination egative to risk Personal	0.24); number of function (uncau number of near 0.32); search fort (linear 5.27; squ 0.21); search sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery sitive (sig. at 93 crocery sitive (sig. at 93 sitive (si	0.24); number of tributes considered fort (linear 5.27;squ 0.21); sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery srcent) sitive at 93 shonning o	0.24); number of tributes considered near 0.32); search fort (linear 5.27;squ 0.21); sitive (sig. at 93 Grocery	verted U RBU Cars near 1.85;squ 0.09); IBU (linear 89); cost (linear 0.65; squ 0.02); unber of brands	ctual relationship to Product category
considered; number of considered; atta amount of search (liin varies with (f experience (f Positive/Negative Pos Positive/Negative Pos Negative to perceived Pos risk, negative to size of evoked set and positive to benefits Negative to benefits Negative to cost and Neg positive to benefit pos	considered; number of brands considered; atti amount of search (liin varies with eff eff experience	considered; number of	considered; number of considered; atth brands considered; atth amount of search (lin varies with eff(experience (Positive/Negative Pos Positive/Negative Por Negative Pos	considered; number or - u brands considered; atth amount of search (lin varies with effo experience - 0	Inverted U with PK; Inv relative brand (lin uncertainty (RBU); – (individual brand 0.66 uncertainty (IBU) and – (search cost; number nuu of attributes	Hypothesized relation Act with IS
					n Un-weighted PK: index of total cdge information search	ict Measure of IS
Subjective r Subjective Subjective Objective and	Subjective r Subjective Subjective Objective and Subjective	Subjective Subjective	Subjective Subjective	Subjective	Difference between PK and expertise] subjective knowlee expertise:	Measure of produce 1 knowledge
Putrevu and Ratchford (1997), JR Sundaram and Taylo: (1998), ACR Pratt (1998), ACR (1999), JBh (1999), JBh (1999), JBh	Putrevu and Ratchford (1997), <i>JR</i> Sundaram and Tayloi (1998), <i>ACR</i> Pratt (1998), <i>ACR</i> Lee et al. (1999), <i>JB</i>	Putrevu and Ratchford (1997), <i>JR</i> Sundaram and Tayloi (1998), <i>ACR</i> Pratt	Putrevu and Ratchford (1997), <i>JR</i> Sundaram	Putrevu	Moorthy <i>et al.</i> (1997), <i>JC</i> K	Author, year, publicatior

APJML 24,2

286

A

	и	Mean	SD	Item total corr	α	EFA loading	Source of adapted items
<i>Prior product knowledge items</i> I consider myself knowledgeable about motorcycles	185	4.57	1.52	0.64	0.85	0.71	Park and Mothersbaugh
I am more familiar with motorcycles as compared to a	182	4.15	1.68	0.65	0.85	0.74	(1394)
common man I know about all features of motorcycles	182	4.21	1.6	0.82	0.84	0.89	Mitchell and Dacin (1996)
I know the importance of different features of motorcycles I know how to compare features of different motorcycles	$185 \\ 185$	4.58 4.42	1.6 1.51	0.72 0.75	0.85 0.84	$0.81 \\ 0.83$	Srinivasan and Ratchford
I fully understand different aspects (technical, aesthetic,	185	3.95	1.78	0.78	0.84	0.87	(1991) Generated through
performance, etc.) of motorcycles I know pretty much about motorcycles	183	4.13	1.64	0.78	0.84	0.85	inductive approach Flynn and Goldsmith (1996)
Motivation to search items I will put in a lot of effort to get additional information	185	5.36	1.55	0.69	0.92	0.77	Srinivasan and Ratchford
I will put in extra effort to get additional information before I	185	5.05	1.5	0.78	0.92	0.85	(TEET)
decide on which motorcycle to purchase I will try and get maximum information even if it delays my nurchase of motorcycle	185	4.84	1.64	0.82	0.91	0.87	Generated through inductive annroach
I will not give up searching till I am convinced that I have collected all possible information	184	4.65	1.55	0.83	0.91	0.88	
I will not give up searching for information till I exhaust all	185	4.39	1.57	0.76	0.92	0.82	Lufi and Cohen (1987)
I will use all ways and means to get all available information from different sources before I decide on which motorcycle	185	4.64	1.67	0.78	0.92	0.86	Schwarzer and Jerusalem (1995)
to purchase I will try and get information from different sources even if they are difficult to access (for example, from far off dealer, annual magazine, etc.) Perceived ability to search items	184	4.18	1.58	0.65	0.93	0.72	

Table AII.

Items used to measure different constructs along with source and reliability measures

(continued)

	и	Mean	SD	Item total corr	α	EFA loading	Source of adapted items
I am confident that I know of all sources from where one can	182	4.25	1.52	0.61	6.0	0.83	Bearden (2001)
get information on motorcycles I am confident that I have the required skills to search for	182	4.53	1.37	0.77	0.88	0.78	
I am confident that I can search for information from all	182	4.88	1.25	0.65	0.89	0.74	
possible sources I am confident that I know what are the right questions to	181	4.73	1.43	0.69	0.89	0.77	
ask for getting information on motorcycles I am confident that I can get all possible information on	181	4.86	1.34	0.69	0.89	0.77	Schwarzer and Jerusalem
notorcycles from various sources I am confident that I can handle any situation that might	182	4.88	1.24	0.71	0.89	0.75	(CRAT)
arise during the search for information I am confident that I have more ability to search for information on motorycele as compared to my friends	182	4.53	1.33	0.68	0.89	0.69	Ryan (1982)
<i>Fereneeu vuue oj uudutonu injorrnation uenis</i> I will not take the final decision till I am certain that I numbers de best encourable such the term	1,182	3.55	1.77	0.67	0.8	0.86	Cox $et al.$ (1983) and
purchase the best motorcycle available in the market. I will not take the final decision till I am certain that I am	1,182	3.77	1.7	0.75	0.78	0.8	maucuve approacm
getting exactly what I want I will not take the final decision till I am certain that I am	1,181	3.21	1.69	0.66	0.8	0.8	
making the right purchase decision I will not take the final decision till I am certain that I will be satisfied with my purchase decision after having bought the motorcorele	1,181	3.78	1.77	0.64	0.81	0.64	
Amount of information search items Overall how many days did you spend in searching for additional information from various sources before buying this motorcycle	n 66	Mean 23	<i>SD</i> 18.8	Source of adapted items Chao and Gupta (1995)			
Note: ^a The same set of items with different instructions wer	e used t	o measi	ure vale	ence and instrum	entality		
Table AII.							The role of prior product knowledge 287